TEACHER–LEARNER RELATIONSHIP IN MONGOLIAN PRIMARY EDUCATION

B. Chuluun-Erdene1, D. Azjargal1 and E. Ganbold2

[1,2]Lecturer, Department of Primary Education Methodology, Arkhangai School, Mongolian National University of Education

ABSTRACT

Teacher–learner relationships constitute a central pedagogical dimension of effective education, particularly in primary schooling, where interpersonal interaction plays a decisive role in learners' cognitive, emotional, and social development. In contemporary educational contexts, the quality of teacher–learner communication increasingly determines not only academic engagement but also learners' autonomy, motivation, and identity formation. Despite its significance, teacher–learner communication is often reduced to evaluative and hierarchical interaction, limiting its developmental potential. This paper presents a conceptual and theoretical analysis of teacher–learner communication within the context of Mongolian primary education. Drawing on educational psychology, communication theory, and pedagogical ethics, the study examines how different communication patterns—authoritarian, evaluative, empathetic, and dialogic—shape classroom interaction and influence learners' educational experiences. The analysis synthesizes theoretical perspectives and reflective pedagogical insights to identify communication practices that either constrain or support learners' academic and psychosocial development. The findings suggest that authoritarian and assessment-centered communication practices tend to weaken learners' emotional security, self-regulation, and intrinsic motivation, whereas learner-centered, respectful, and dialogic communication fosters creativity, engagement, and positive identity development. The paper argues that strengthening teachers' communication competence and ethical awareness is a critical condition for improving educational quality in primary education. By highlighting the theoretical implications of communication culture in teacher–learner relationships, this study contributes to ongoing discussions on learner-centered pedagogy and teacher professional development in transitional educational contexts.

KEYWORDS

Teacher–learner communication, primary education, communication competence, learner-centered pedagogy, educational psychology

1. INTRODUCTION

Education is widely recognized as a complex social and cultural process in which learning outcomes are shaped not only by curriculum content and instructional methods, but also by the quality of interpersonal relationships within educational environments. Among these relationships, teacher–learner interaction is particularly consequential in primary education, where communication functions as a key mechanism influencing learners' cognitive engagement, emotional well-being, and social development. Contemporary research therefore increasingly treats effective learning as inseparable from the communicative practices through which teaching and learning are enacted. Despite this recognition, teacher–learner communication in many contexts remains structured by hierarchical, evaluative, and authority-centered norms. Such interactional patterns often prioritize control, grading, and task compliance over dialogue, emotional support, and learner autonomy. Consequently, communication is frequently framed as

a technical instrument for instruction and assessment rather than as a pedagogical and ethical practice through which learners' identities, motivation, and longer-term educational trajectories are shaped. This unresolved tension between learner-centered ideals and persistent authoritarian communicative routines constitutes a significant challenge for contemporary educational systems.

International research in educational psychology and communication theory has demonstrated that supportive, dialogic, and empathetic teacher–learner communication contributes positively to students' intrinsic motivation, self-regulation, and academic engagement. Conversely, communication characterized by excessive control, neglect of learners' perspectives, or emotionally insensitive feedback has been associated with reduced motivation, anxiety, and disengagement. While these findings are well documented in international literature, their theoretical implications are often examined within Western or highly standardized educational contexts, leaving limited insight into how such communication dynamics operate in transitional or culturally specific educational systems. In the context of Mongolian primary education, teacher–learner communication remains a relatively underexplored area of theoretical inquiry. Educational reforms in Mongolia have increasingly emphasized learner-centered pedagogy, creativity, and holistic child development. However, classroom interaction patterns often continue to reflect traditional, teacher-centered norms shaped by historical, cultural, and institutional factors. This creates a conceptual gap between internationally promoted communication models and the lived realities of classroom practice in Mongolia. Existing studies tend to focus on curriculum reform, assessment, or teacher training, while the communicative dimension of teacher–learner relationships is frequently addressed implicitly rather than as a central analytical focus.

This gap highlights the need for a theoretically grounded examination of teacher–learner communication that situates Mongolian educational practice within broader international debates on educational communication and pedagogy. Rather than treating communication as a secondary or instrumental factor, there is a need to conceptualize it as a core pedagogical process that mediates learning, development, and ethical relationships in education. Addressing this issue is particularly important in primary education, where early communicative experiences with teachers significantly influence learners' attitudes toward learning and social interaction.

Accordingly, the purpose of this study is to conduct a conceptual and theoretical analysis of teacher–learner communication in Mongolian primary education. Drawing on perspectives from educational psychology, communication theory, and pedagogical ethics, the study seeks to examine how different communication patterns—such as authoritarian, evaluative, and dialogic approaches—shape learners' educational experiences. The analysis aims to clarify the pedagogical implications of communication practices and to identify conditions under which teacher–learner interaction supports or constrains learners' academic and psychosocial development.

The study is guided by the following research questions:

1. How is teacher–learner communication conceptualized within educational psychology and communication theory?
2. What characteristics distinguish authoritarian, evaluative, and learner-centered communication practices in primary education?
3. How do different communication patterns theoretically influence learners' motivation, emotional security, and engagement?
4. What implications do these theoretical insights hold for improving communication culture and teacher professional development in Mongolian primary education?

By addressing these questions, the study seeks to contribute to ongoing international discussions on the role of communication in education while offering context-sensitive theoretical insights relevant to both researchers and practitioners.

2. Theoretical Framework: Teacher–Learner Communication In Education

2.1. Communication as a Pedagogical And Psychological Process

Communication is positioned as a foundational construct in educational theory, operating simultaneously as a psychological process and as a pedagogical mechanism through which learning and development are organized and regulated. From an educational psychology perspective, communication extends beyond information transmission; it functions as a relational practice that shapes learners' cognition, emotional experience, and social identity formation. In early schooling, learning is inseparable from communicative exchanges through which meanings are negotiated, values are socialized, and behavioral norms are produced and reinforced. Classical communication theory further conceptualizes communication as a multidimensional process involving message production, interpretation, feedback, and relational positioning. However, within institutional schooling, these processes rarely occur under neutral conditions. Classroom communication is structurally asymmetrical, as teachers possess formal authority to define instructional goals, control participation, and determine evaluative criteria. Consequently, communicative practices often reproduce hierarchical relations and may constrain learner agency when interaction is dominated by directive or compliance-oriented discourse. Contemporary pedagogical theory therefore critiques purely vertical models of classroom talk and argues for dialogic approaches that redistribute interactional opportunities, recognize learners as epistemic contributors, and enable more participatory forms of meaning-making.

This shift from transmission-oriented to interaction-oriented communication aligns with constructivist learning theory, which emphasizes knowledge construction through social interaction. In this framework, communication functions as the primary medium through which learners negotiate meaning, articulate understanding, and integrate new knowledge with prior experience. From a socio-cultural perspective, dialogic interaction plays a central role in the development of children's thinking, as reasoning and understanding emerge through guided participation in communicative activity (Mercer & Littleton, 2007). Consequently, the quality of teacher–learner communication becomes a decisive factor in determining whether learning environments support deep understanding or merely surface-level performance.

2.2. Teacher–Learner Communication and Educational Psychology

Educational psychology highlights the role of interpersonal communication in shaping learners' motivation, self-efficacy, and emotional well-being. Theories of motivation suggest that learners' engagement is strongly influenced by their perceptions of autonomy, competence, and relatedness—factors that are largely communicated through teacher behavior and discourse. According to Self-Determination Theory, learners' intrinsic motivation and psychological well-being are supported when educational communication satisfies basic needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness, whereas controlling and pressuring communication undermines sustained engagement (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Supportive communication that acknowledges learners' efforts, provides constructive feedback, and respects individual differences tends to enhance intrinsic motivation and persistence.

Conversely, communication characterized by excessive control, frequent negative evaluation, or emotional distance may undermine learners' sense of competence and autonomy. Such communication patterns are associated with increased anxiety, avoidance behavior, and reduced willingness to participate actively in learning activities. In primary education, where learners are particularly sensitive to adult feedback, these effects may have long-lasting consequences for attitudes toward learning and self-concept. Importantly, educational psychology also emphasizes that communication conveys implicit messages about learners' value and potential. Beyond explicit instructional content, tone of voice, choice of language, non-verbal cues, and patterns of interaction communicate expectations and judgments that learners internalize over time. Thus, teacher–learner communication operates simultaneously at cognitive, emotional, and symbolic levels, reinforcing or challenging learners' beliefs about themselves and their capabilities.

2.3. Authoritarian, Evaluative, and Dialogic Communication Models

Within the literature on educational communication, several broad communication patterns can be identified. Authoritarian communication is characterized by unilateral control, directive commands, and limited opportunities for learner participation. In this model, communication primarily serves regulatory and disciplinary functions, emphasizing compliance and task completion. While such approaches may produce short-term behavioral order, theoretical analyses suggest that they often limit learners' autonomy and inhibit creative and critical thinking. Evaluative communication places assessment at the center of teacher–learner interaction. In this model, communication is closely tied to grading, comparison, and judgment, with learners' performance serving as the primary focus of interaction. Although evaluation is an essential component of education, an overemphasis on summative assessment may reduce communication to a mechanism of control rather than support. Learners may come to associate communication with judgment rather than guidance, leading to performance-oriented rather than learning-oriented engagement.

In contrast, dialogic communication emphasizes mutual exchange, responsiveness, and shared meaning-making. This conceptualization aligns with dialogic pedagogy, which views classroom communication as a structured yet open exchange that supports collective reasoning, learner agency, and the co-construction of meaning (Alexander, 2008). Rooted in socio-cultural and humanistic educational theories, dialogic approaches view communication as a collaborative process in which teachers guide learning while remaining attentive to learners' perspectives and experiences. This model does not eliminate teacher authority but reframes it as pedagogical leadership grounded in respect, empathy, and ethical responsibility. Theoretical studies suggest that dialogic communication supports deeper learning, emotional security, and the development of reflective and self-regulated learners.

2.4. Communication Culture and Ethical Dimensions of Teaching

Beyond instructional effectiveness, teacher–learner communication also has an ethical dimension. Communication culture reflects the norms, values, and moral orientations embedded in everyday interaction. In educational settings, communication culture shapes learners' understanding of respect, fairness, responsibility, and social relations. Teachers function not only as instructors but also as moral agents whose communicative behavior models acceptable forms of interaction. Pedagogical ethics emphasizes that the exercise of authority in education must be balanced with care, responsibility, and respect for learners' dignity. From an ethics of care perspective, teaching is fundamentally relational, and teacher–learner communication carries moral significance by expressing care, attentiveness, and responsibility toward learners' well-being and development (Noddings, 2005). Ethical communication does not imply the absence of structure or expectations; rather, it requires that rules, feedback, and evaluation be communicated in ways

that preserve learners' self-worth and promote growth. From this perspective, communication failures are not merely technical issues but ethical concerns with potential implications for learners' psychological development.

2.5. Conceptual Positioning in the Mongolian Educational Context

While international theories of educational communication provide valuable analytical frameworks, their application must be contextualized within specific cultural and institutional settings. In Mongolia, educational traditions have historically emphasized respect for authority, discipline, and teacher-centered instruction. Although recent reforms promote learner-centered pedagogy, these reforms coexist with deeply rooted communicative norms that influence classroom interaction. This study adopts a contextualized theoretical perspective that recognizes both the value of international communication models and the socio-cultural conditions shaping Mongolian primary education. Rather than viewing authoritarian or evaluative communication solely as deficiencies, the analysis considers how historical, cultural, and institutional factors contribute to their persistence. At the same time, it explores the theoretical potential of dialogic communication to enhance educational quality within this context.

By integrating insights from educational psychology, communication theory, and pedagogical ethics, the framework provides a conceptual foundation for analyzing teacher–learner communication as a multidimensional and context-sensitive phenomenon. This approach enables a more nuanced understanding of how communication practices influence learning and development, thereby informing both theoretical discussion and practical improvement in educational settings.

3. METHODOLOGY: CONCEPTUAL AND ANALYTICAL APPROACH

3.1. Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative, conceptual research design grounded in theoretical analysis and reflective interpretation. Rather than collecting empirical data, the research focuses on synthesizing and critically examining established theoretical perspectives in educational psychology, communication theory, and pedagogical ethics to analyze teacher–learner communication in primary education. Such an approach is appropriate given the study's objective of clarifying conceptual relationships and theoretical implications rather than measuring specific behavioral outcomes.

Conceptual research plays an important role in educational scholarship by refining theoretical frameworks, identifying underlying assumptions, and generating interpretive insights that inform both empirical inquiry and professional practice. In the context of teacher–learner communication, where interaction is shaped by psychological, ethical, and socio-cultural dimensions, a conceptual approach allows for a deeper examination of meanings, values, and relational dynamics that may not be fully captured through quantitative measurement alone.

3.2. Analytical Framework

The analysis is guided by an integrative analytical framework that combines three complementary perspectives:
Educational Psychology Perspective – focusing on motivation, emotional security, self-efficacy, and learner engagement as outcomes influenced by communication practices.

Communication Theory Perspective – examining patterns of interaction, feedback, authority, and dialogic exchange within classroom settings.
Pedagogical Ethics Perspective – addressing the moral and relational dimensions of teacher authority, respect, and responsibility in educational communication.

By synthesizing these perspectives, the study constructs a multidimensional understanding of teacher–learner communication that accounts for cognitive, emotional, and ethical factors. This framework enables systematic comparison of different communication patterns, including authoritarian, evaluative, and dialogic approaches, and their theoretical implications for learning and development.

3.3. Analytical Procedures

The analytical process involves three main stages. First, relevant theoretical literature was identified and reviewed to establish key concepts and assumptions related to teacher–learner communication. This literature includes foundational works in educational psychology, classical and contemporary communication theory, and pedagogical ethics, with particular attention to studies addressing primary education. Second, a comparative conceptual analysis was conducted to examine similarities and differences among major communication patterns described in the literature. Authoritarian, evaluative, and dialogic communication models were analyzed in terms of their structural characteristics, underlying assumptions, and theoretically expected effects on learners' motivation, emotional well-being, and engagement. Third, reflective interpretation was applied to contextualize these theoretical insights within the Mongolian primary education setting. This stage involved examining how historical, cultural, and institutional factors may shape communication practices and mediate the applicability of international theoretical models. Rather than generalizing findings across contexts, the analysis emphasizes contextual sensitivity and theoretical plausibility.

3.4. Trustworthiness and Analytical Rigor

Although the study does not employ empirical data, analytical rigor is ensured through systematic literature selection, transparent analytical procedures, and logical coherence in interpretation. The use of multiple theoretical perspectives enhances conceptual validity by reducing reliance on a single explanatory framework. Additionally, explicit articulation of assumptions and analytical steps allows readers to evaluate the credibility and relevance of the interpretations presented.

3.5. Limitations of the Approach

The conceptual nature of the study entails certain limitations. The analysis does not provide empirical evidence of communication practices or their direct effects on learners. Instead, it offers theoretically grounded interpretations that may guide future empirical research. This limitation is consistent with the study's aim to clarify conceptual relationships and generate hypotheses rather than test them statistically. Future studies may build on this framework through classroom observation, interviews, or mixed-methods approaches to further examine teacher–learner communication in practice.

4. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Communication Patterns and Learners' Educational Experience

The analysis indicates that teacher–learner communication patterns significantly shape learners' educational experiences beyond the transmission of academic content. Authoritarian communication, characterized by directive language, unilateral decision-making, and limited learner participation, constructs an interactional environment in which compliance is prioritized over understanding. From a theoretical perspective, such communication may produce short-term behavioral order; however, it simultaneously restricts opportunities for learners to develop autonomy, reflective thinking, and communicative competence. In contrast, dialogic communication frames interaction as a shared process of meaning-making. This pattern enables learners to articulate ideas, negotiate understanding, and engage cognitively with instructional content. The theoretical literature suggests that dialogic interaction supports deeper learning by aligning with constructivist principles that emphasize social interaction as a foundation of knowledge construction. Empirical and theoretical studies in dialogic pedagogy further suggest that such interaction enhances learners' reasoning quality and metacognitive awareness by making thinking explicit through talk (Alexander, 2008; Mercer & Littleton, 2007). Within primary education, where learners are developing fundamental learning habits, dialogic communication appears particularly influential in shaping positive attitudes toward learning.

4.2. Evaluation-Centered Communication and Motivation

Evaluation-centered communication constitutes a pivotal dimension of teacher–learner interaction, particularly when classroom talk is organized around grading practices and performance comparison. Under such conditions, learners may come to interpret teacher feedback less as instructional support and more as an instrument of judgment and control. Research on feedback effectiveness suggests that feedback has the greatest impact when it is timely, specific, and oriented toward learning processes rather than personal appraisal, thereby strengthening learners' self-regulation and achievement (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). From the perspective of motivational theory, performance-oriented communicative climates tend to redirect learners' attention away from mastery and curiosity toward risk avoidance and fear of failure. This shift can encourage surface learning strategies and heighten anxiety, effects that are often amplified in primary education where learners are especially sensitive to adult evaluation. Importantly, this analysis does not argue for the removal of assessment; rather, it problematizes how assessment is communicated and experienced in everyday classroom interaction. When evaluative talk is recontextualized through formative feedback that foregrounds progress, effort, and future improvement, assessment can function as a developmental resource rather than a disciplinary mechanism. This interpretation aligns with theoretical accounts of motivation that emphasize learners' responsiveness to the quality and meaning of evaluative communication, not merely its presence.

4.3. Emotional Security and Ethical Dimensions of Communication

A recurring theme in the analysis is the role of emotional security as a mediator between communication practices and learning outcomes. Educational psychology emphasizes that learners' willingness to participate, take intellectual risks, and persist in learning tasks depends on their perception of the classroom as emotionally safe. Communication that dismisses learners' perspectives, employs public criticism, or relies on threat undermines this sense of safety and may inhibit engagement.

From an ethical standpoint, teacher–learner communication conveys implicit moral messages about respect, fairness, and human dignity. Authoritarian or emotionally insensitive communication may normalize power-based interaction and discourage empathy, whereas respectful and supportive communication models ethical interaction. The analysis suggests that ethical communication is not an optional supplement to instruction but a foundational element of effective pedagogy, particularly in formative educational stages.

4.4. Contextual Factors in Mongolian Primary Education

The analysis further indicates that communication practices cannot be fully understood without reference to socio-cultural context. In Mongolia, historical traditions emphasizing respect for authority and discipline continue to shape classroom interaction. Cross-cultural research suggests that educational communication patterns are deeply influenced by broader cultural orientations toward authority, hierarchy, and power distance, which shape expectations for teacher–learner interaction in classroom settings (Hofstede, 2011). These norms may contribute to the persistence of authoritarian and evaluative communication patterns, even in the presence of learner-centered policy reforms. At the same time, the analysis suggests that dialogic communication is not incompatible with cultural expectations of respect. Rather, it requires a reconceptualization of authority as relational and pedagogical rather than coercive. Dialogic pedagogy does not eliminate teacher authority but reframes it as pedagogical leadership grounded in mutual respect and shared responsibility for learning, allowing authority to coexist with dialogue across cultural contexts (Alexander, 2008). Teachers who combine clear expectations with respectful dialogue may maintain authority while fostering learner engagement. This finding highlights the importance of culturally sensitive adaptation of international pedagogical models rather than their uncritical adoption.

4.5. Implications for Educational Practice and Research

The theoretical analysis carries several implications for educational practice. First, teacher education programs should explicitly address communication competence as a core professional skill, integrating psychological, communicative, and ethical dimensions. Second, assessment practices should be accompanied by communicative strategies that emphasize formative feedback and learner development. This emphasis aligns with research demonstrating that formative, learning-oriented feedback plays a central role in improving learner engagement and achievement when communicated effectively within classroom interaction (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Third, reflective practice should be encouraged to help teachers examine their own communication patterns and their impact on learners.

For research, the analysis underscores the need for empirical studies that examine how communication patterns manifest in classroom practice and how learners interpret and respond to them. Observational and qualitative approaches may be particularly valuable in capturing the nuanced dynamics of teacher–learner interaction. Qualitative and observational research designs are particularly well suited to exploring complex social interactions in educational settings, as they allow for in-depth analysis of meaning-making and relational processes (Creswell & Poth, 2018).

5. CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

This study examined teacher–learner communication in primary education as a central pedagogical and ethical process through a conceptual and theoretical lens. Drawing on educational psychology, communication theory, and pedagogical ethics, the analysis

demonstrates that communication should not be treated as a merely technical instrument for delivering instruction; rather, it constitutes a formative social practice through which motivation, emotional security, engagement, and identity development are continuously shaped. The findings underscore that teacher–learner interaction is decisive in determining whether classroom environments enable holistic development or reproduce compliance-oriented forms of learning. The study contributes theoretically by conceptualizing teacher–learner communication as a multidimensional phenomenon integrating cognitive, emotional, and ethical dimensions, and by clarifying how authoritarian, evaluative, and dialogic communication patterns are associated with distinct learner experiences. By offering a context-sensitive interpretation grounded in the realities of Mongolian primary education, the analysis extends international discussions and highlights the need to critically examine how institutional norms and classroom discourse mediate learner-centered reform in practice.

5.1. Practical Implications

The findings of this study carry several practical implications for educational practice and teacher professional development. First, communication competence should be recognized as a core component of pedagogical professionalism. Research on teacher professional development emphasizes that effective teaching competence extends beyond subject knowledge to include relational and communicative skills that support meaningful interaction and learner development (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017). Teacher education and in-service training programs may benefit from explicitly addressing communication styles, feedback practices, and ethical responsibility in classroom interaction, alongside subject knowledge and instructional methods. Second, assessment practices should be accompanied by communicative strategies that emphasize formative feedback and learner development rather than sole reliance on summative evaluation. When feedback highlights effort, progress, and potential, assessment can function as a supportive pedagogical tool rather than a source of anxiety or control. Studies on formative assessment demonstrate that such feedback practices enhance learner motivation and engagement when they are framed as guidance for improvement rather than evaluative judgment (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Such an approach aligns with learner-centered pedagogy and supports students' intrinsic motivation and engagement.

Third, reflective practice should be encouraged as a means of improving teacher–learner communication. Reflective practice enables teachers to critically examine their communicative actions and underlying assumptions, thereby supporting continuous professional growth and more responsive pedagogical interaction (Schön, 1983). Providing teachers with structured opportunities to reflect on their communicative behavior, emotional responses, and interaction patterns may support greater awareness of how communication influences learners' educational experiences. This reflective dimension is particularly important in primary education, where early communicative experiences can have lasting effects on learners' attitudes toward learning and social interaction.

At an institutional level, educational policies and school cultures may support effective communication by valuing respect, emotional safety, and ethical interaction as essential components of educational quality. Rather than framing communication issues as individual shortcomings, schools may foster collaborative professional environments in which communication competence is continuously developed and supported.

Despite its contributions, the study has certain limitations. As a conceptual analysis, it does not provide empirical evidence of classroom communication practices or their direct effects on learners. The findings should therefore be interpreted as theoretically grounded insights rather than generalizable empirical conclusions. Future research may build on this framework through

classroom observation, interviews, or mixed-methods approaches to examine how teacher–learner communication is enacted in practice and how it influences learners across diverse educational contexts.

In conclusion, the study emphasizes that effective teaching in primary education requires more than curricular alignment and assessment accuracy. It demands reflective, ethical, and learner-centered communication that fosters trust, engagement, and personal growth. Recognizing teacher–learner communication as a central pedagogical process is essential for improving educational quality and supporting sustainable educational development.

REFERENCES

[1] Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). Effective teacher professional development. Palo Alto, CA: Learning Policy Institute.
[2] Schön, D. A. (1983). The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action. New York: Basic Books.
[3] Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
[4] Primary Teacher's Handbook III. (2012). Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia.
[5] Hofstede, G. (2011). Dimensionalizing cultures: The Hofstede model in context. Online Readings in Psychology and Culture, 2(1).
[6] Mercer, N., & Littleton, K. (2007). Dialogue and the development of children's thinking. London: Routledge.
[7] Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25(1), 54–67.
[8] Alexander, R. (2008). Towards dialogic teaching: Rethinking classroom talk. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
[9] Noddings, N. (2005). The challenge to care in schools: An alternative approach to education. New York: Teachers College Press.
[10] Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. Review of Educational Research, 77(1), 81–112.